Program Effectiveness Presentation

Student Name

Program Name or Degree Name, University

COURSE XXX: Title of Course

Instructor Name

Month XX, 2023

Introduction

- Welcome to the Advanced Nursing Informatics course!

Purpose

- Enhance course effectiveness and relevance.

- Ensure data-driven decision-making.

- Optimize participant engagement and learning outcomes.

- Identify areas for continuous improvement.

- Align with evolving healthcare practices and trends.

- Foster evidence-based nursing practice and patient care.

Philosophical Approaches

- Positivism: Focuses on objective, measurable outcomes.

- Constructivism: Emphasizes context and diverse perspectives.

- Pragmatism: Aims for practical results through adaptable methods.

- Interpretivism: Centers on subjective understanding within context.

- Critical Theory: Examines power dynamics and aims for social change.

- Feminist Epistemology: Highlights gender, inclusivity, and diverse viewpoints.

(Lim-Saco, 2019)

Evidence Evaluation of Philosophies

- Empirical Research: Positivism, scientific data.

- Personal Reflections: Constructivism, individual experiences.

- Practical Outcomes: Pragmatism, real-world impact.

- Contextual Understanding: Interpretivism, subjective exploration.

- Social Critique: Critical Theory, power structure analysis.

- Inclusivity in Action: Feminist Epistemology, diverse perspectives.

(Lim-Saco, 2019)

Program Evaluation Process

- Define goals and objectives.

- Develop evaluation questions.

- Choose evaluation methods.

- Collect relevant data.

- Analyze and interpret data.

- Communicate findings effectively.

Program Evaluation Process Cont'

- Draw conclusions and recommendations.

- Ensure validity and reliability.

- Consider ethical considerations.

- Address stakeholder feedback.

- Implement improvements as needed.

- Continuous monitoring and refinement.

Limitations of Program Evaluation Steps

- Subjectivity: Interpretation of data can vary.

- Bias: Stakeholder feedback might be biased.

- Time and Resources: Robust evaluation demands time and resources.

- Ethical Challenges: Balancing data collection with privacy concerns.

- External Factors: External variables can influence outcomes.

- Long-Term Impact: Immediate improvements may not ensure long-term success.

(Lester et al., 2019)

Evaluation Design Using Kirkpatrick's Model

Utilizing: Kirkpatrick's Four-Level Training Evaluation Model.

Level 1: Reaction

- Collect participants' feedback on course experience.

- Surveys and feedback forms for immediate reactions.

Level 2: Learning

- Assess knowledge acquisition and comprehension.

Level 3: Behavior

- Evaluate application of learning in real-world settings.

Level 4: Results

- Evaluate patient outcomes and healthcare quality.

(Solomon, 2019)

Considerations and Limitations

- Resource Constraints: Implementing all levels demands resources.

- Long-Term Impact: Assessing sustained changes requires time.

- Causality: Connecting training to patient outcomes is complex.

- Participant Engagement: Higher participation enhances validity.

- Positive Bias: Participants may respond positively due to course expectations.

- Applicability: Findings may vary across healthcare settings.

(Solomon, 2019)

Program Improvement

- Quantitative analysis evaluates student performance data.
- Identify trends: strengths, weaknesses.
- Pinpoint challenges; reinforce concepts.
- Analyze instructor feedback for effectiveness.
- Track progress; measure improvement.
- Tailor content for relevance.

(Parker, 2020)

Areas of Uncertainty and Knowledge Gaps

- Long-term impact: nursing practice implications?

- Generalizability: diverse healthcare setting applicability?

- Participant engagement: varying levels, outcomes?

- External influences: trends' effect on relevance?

- Ethical dilemmas: evaluation process safeguards?

- Causal connections: training to patient outcomes linkage?

References

Lester, J. N., Cho, Y., & Lochmiller, C. R. (2020). Learning to do qualitative data analysis: A starting point. *Human Resource Development Review*, *19*(1), 94-106. https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484320903890

Lim-Saco, F. (2019). Philosophical and contextual issues in nursing theory development concerning technological competency as caring in nursing. *The Journal of Medical Investigation*, *66*(1.2), 8-11. https://doi.org/10.2152/jmi.66.8

Nagarkar, S., Theis-Mahon, N., & Perdigão, J. (2019). Universal dental adhesives: Current status, laboratory testing, and clinical performance. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*, *107*(6), 2121-2131. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.b.34305

Parker, W. S. (2020). Model evaluation: An adequacy-for-purpose view. *Philosophy of Science*, *87*(3), 457-477. https://doi.org/10.1086/708691

Solomon, M. (2019). Kirkpatrick's Four-Level-Training Evaluation Model: M & E Design for Learning and Development Intervention. *Ascendens Asia Journal of Multidisciplinary Research Abstracts*, *3*(2), 17-21. https://www.ojs.aaresearchindex.com/index.php/AAJMRA/article/view/11511