NURS-FPX6109 Assessment 3: Educational Technology Comparison

Student Name

Program Name or Degree Name (e.g., Bachelor of Science in Psychology), University

COURSE XXX: Title of Course

Instructor Name

Month XX, 2024

Educational Technology Comparison

Learning Management Systems (LMSs) have become integral tools in the field of education, providing educators and students with a platform to facilitate teaching and learning activities. This paper aims to compare two versions of LMSs, namely Brightspace and Moodle, focusing on their common features, divergences, benefits, limitations, and assumptions (Bond et al., 2020). The comparison allows educators to make informed decisions about integrating the selected technology, in this case, LMSs, into a specific nursing education program. By examining the impact of LMS integration on learning and performance, the paper highlights the potential benefits such as increased accessibility, greater efficiency, enhanced student involvement, timely evaluation and feedback, and data-driven decision-making. However, it is essential to consider the currency of information and avoid biases when making comparisons and implementing educational technology.

Comparison Between Two Versions of a Similar Technology

Common features in Learning Management Systems (LMSs): Brightspace and Moodle, which include grade monitoring, discussion forums, and content management. However, there are several areas where they diverge. Brightspace is renowned for its intuitive course design tools and user-friendly UI. Both instructors and students can enjoy a contemporary, attractive experience (Bond et al., 2020). Educators can efficiently monitor student development because of the platform's powerful analytics and reporting features. Additionally, Brightspace expands its usefulness by integrating with other outside programs and resources. Moodle provides more customization possibilities and freedom in course creation because it is an open-source platform. A sizable developer community continuously contributes plugins and extensions to it. Moodle is appropriate for various educational environments because of its widespread use worldwide and

support for many languages. Through tools like wikis, blogs, and forums, it places a focus on collaboration and encourages community involvement.

Certain aesthetically pleasing features or modest interface variations that have little or no impact on teaching and learning outcomes are examples of non-essential characteristics. Furthermore, many administrative aspects may not significantly affect direct education. Research and user review back up the claimed benefits of these technologies. According to Hernon et al. (2023), LMSs increase learner engagement and outcomes, enable communication and cooperation, and improve access to educational materials. Quantitative statistics on improved completion rates, improved student achievement, and favorable feedback from instructors and students about improved teaching and learning experiences are all examples of evidence.

The Benefits and Limitations of this Type of Comparison

Comparing similar instructional tools has some advantages. First, it gives educators a thorough awareness of all available options, empowering them to decide wisely based on their unique needs. Comparing features, benefits, and capabilities allows educators to determine which technology best supports their teaching and learning goals. By recognizing the benefits and drawbacks of each technology, this kind of comparison enables educators to maximize the benefits and lessen the drawbacks (Hernon et al., 2023). Comparing similar technology also helps educators develop their critical analysis and evaluation skills. They can evaluate the precise traits and qualities required for efficient teaching and learning and spot any optional or insignificant components. This encourages a targeted approach to technology integration and ensures that the selected technology aligns with the desired educational results.

This kind of comparison, nevertheless, has significant drawbacks. First, because educational technologies are continually growing, their features and capacities are subject to

change over time. Therefore, it is essential to consider information currency and ensure the comparison is based on the most recent data (Huang, 2019). Second, prejudices and preferences that are not objective can affect the comparison. Teachers may be prejudiced because of their prior knowledge or familiarity with a certain technology. It is crucial to approach the comparison with an open mind to support conclusions and consider objective data and user feedback.

Assumptions Underlying My Comparison

The comparison of educational technology is predicated on the premise that the tools under consideration have comparable functions and serve comparable aims. These presumptions impact decision-making because they serve as a foundation for assessing and choosing the best technology for particular teaching and learning requirements. Making educated decisions, identifying strengths and limitations, and fostering critical thinking abilities are all advantages of this kind of comparison (Bond et al., 2020). However, the ever-evolving nature of technology and the possibility of individual biases influencing judgments are limitations. Making judgments based on the comparison requires careful consideration of the comparison's assumptions, advantages, and limits.

How the Selected Technology can be Incorporated into a Specific Nursing Education Program.

Several steps can be taken to integrate a certain piece of technology, like Learning Management Systems (LMSs), into a particular nursing education program. Needs analysis: Determine the precise requirements and objectives of the nursing education program. Find out how technology may help and improve the program's teaching, learning, and assessment. Technology selection: Based on the program's needs, select an appropriate learning management system (LMS), taking into account things like cost, scalability, technical support, and ease of

use. Instruction and support: Educate faculty members and students on how to use the LMS effectively. Provide workshops, tutorials, and documentation to ensure everyone is familiar with the features and functionalities. Creating course materials on the LMS, such as lecture notes, multimedia resources, quizzes, and homework assignments. Ensure the information is accessible to all students and complies with the program's learning objectives (Kowitlawakul et al., 2022).

Collaboration and engagement: Encourage student connection and involvement by utilizing the LMS's collaboration capabilities. Establish discussion boards, group projects, and virtual gatherings to promote peer-to-peer learning and teamwork. Assessments and feedback: To assign quizzes, tests, and assignments, use the LMS's assessment tools. Utilize the LMS grade book to give students timely feedback and grades. For precise and effective evaluation, use customizable assessment options and rubrics. Monitoring and tracking: Use the analytics and reporting tools of the LMS to measure completion rates, keep track of learner development, and pinpoint areas that need improvement. Make informed judgments about instructional tactics and interventions using this data.

Impact Learning and Performance

Increased accessibility: Students have access to course materials, resources, and conversations at any time and from any location, encouraging flexibility and taking into account various learning preferences. Greater efficiency and organization: The LMS streamlines course management duties, including content delivery, grading, and communication, saving instructors' time and lessening their administrative workload. Enhanced student involvement: Interactive LMS features like discussion boards and group projects promote active participation and peer-to-peer learning, which boosts motivation and engagement.

The LMS's customization and adaptive learning pathways make individual training and addressing different student needs possible. Timely evaluation and feedback: The LMS supports effective assessment and timely feedback, allowing students to monitor their development and make adjustments. This encourages a cycle of ongoing learning and helps the development of skills (Bond et al., 2020). Data-driven decision making: The analytics and reporting features of the LMS offer insightful information about student performance, enabling teachers to pinpoint students' strong and weak points and make data-driven instructional decisions.

Conclusion

Comparing two versions of similar technology, such as Learning Management Systems (LMSs) like Brightspace and Moodle, provides educators with valuable insights for decision-making. Evaluating their features, benefits, and limitations helps educators determine which technology aligns best with their teaching and learning goals. While Brightspace excels in user-friendly design and integrations, Moodle offers more customization options and a strong support community. However, it is essential to consider the currency of information and avoid biases when making comparisons. Integrating the selected technology into a nursing education program involves needs analysis, technology selection, instruction and support, course material creation, collaboration and engagement, assessments and feedback, and monitoring and tracking. This integration can enhance accessibility, efficiency, student involvement, individualized learning, timely evaluation and feedback, and data-driven decision-making in the program.

References

Bond, M., Buntins, K., Bedenlier, S., Zawacki-Richter, O., & Kerres, M. (2020). Mapping research in student engagement and educational technology in higher education: A systematic evidence map. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *17*(1), 1-30 https://educationaltechnologyjournal.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s41239-019-0176-8

Hernon, O., McSharry, E., MacLaren, I., Dunne, R., & Carr, P. J. (2023). The use of educational technology in undergraduate and postgraduate nursing and midwifery education: A scoping review. *Computers, Informatics, Nursing: CIN*, *41*(3), 162–171. https://doi.org/10.1097/CIN.0000000000000928

Huang, R. (2019). *Educational technology a primer for the 21st century*. Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. http://ir.mksu.ac.ke/bitstream/handle/123456780/6179/10.1007_978-981-13-6643-7.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

Kowitlawakul, Y., Tan, J. J. M., Suebnukarn, S., Nguyen, H. D., Poo, D. C. C., Chai, J., Wang, W., & Devi, K. (2022). Utilizing educational technology in enhancing undergraduate nursing students' engagement and motivation: A scoping review. *Journal of Professional Nursing: Official Journal of the American Association of Colleges of Nursing*, *42*, 262–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2022.07.015